

"Two roads diverged in a wood, and I - I took the one less traveled by, And that has made all the difference." Robert Frost

# A Brief History of the Formation of the Kentucky Court of Justice's Court Designated Worker Program

APPENDIX A - PILOT PROJECT PROGRAMS

Ms. Janice Miller
Bath County Courthouse Annex
Main Street
Owingsville, KY. 40360
(606) 674-6298

Counties Served: Bath and Montgomery

Present District Judges: Judge James Clay Judge Edward Marye

Past District Judge: Judge James Richardson

## Program History

Janice Miller was employed in Bath and Montgomery Counties as a juvenile support staff worker from January of 1979. Prior to her involvement with AOC, Ms. Miller provided the following services:

- she received status and public offense complaints from private citizens, assisted in the filing of petitions for formal court and informally referred some cases to social service agencies;
- she was notified whenever children were taken into custody and under the general direction of the district judges, made decisions for release or placement of such children;
- she made formal court dispositional recommendations; and
- 4. she supervised cases after disposition as directed by the court.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Miller was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Bath and Montgomery Counties.

## Complaint Process

Ms. Miller received all public and status offense complaints and completed all necessary paperwork. Issues concerning the processing of complaints were discussed with her assistant county attorney. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

### Custody Process

Ms. Miller was contacted whenever children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers. She then made, based upon written criteria, release decisions. The placement of children was hampered because the Gateway Diversion Center (emergency shelter) was closed and re-opened several times during the year. Secure detention was not available in either county. Whenever secure detention was needed, Ms. Miller made many telephone calls to other counties to find secure detention space. The lack of available resources sometimes resulted in up to twelve hour delay in placement, during which time Ms. Miller physically remained with these children at the Mt. Sterling Police Department.

# Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Miller conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for all public and status offense cases. She determined, based on written criteria, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

### Informal Process

Ms. Miller determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status case which was handled informally. She supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

# Formal Court Process

Ms. Miller attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations. She also assisted the court in locating placements for children.

#### Other

Ms. Miller was a member of the Pathways (Comprehensive Care) and the Gateway Diversion Center advisory boards. She participated on her church board in regard to an alternative recreation program for children. She was also active in establishing work project sites and in supporting a court ordered reading program. When requested, Ms. Miller facilitated the process for dependency actions.

# BATH AND MONTGOMERY COUNTIES

# PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

# INFORMAL COURT CRITERIA FORM

NAME	DATE
	NSE
I. BO	XES ARE CHECKED, THE CHILD IS ELIGIBLE FOR INFORMAL COURT PROCESSING. (PRESENT & PRIOR)
PRES	ENT_OFFENSE(S)
	MISDEMEANOR(S) VIOLATION(S)
( )	RUNAWAY BEYOND PARENTAL CONTROL TRUANCY
	PRIOR RECORD: THE CHILD HAS BEEN BEFORE THE COURT THREE TIMES OR LESS FOR MISDEMEANOR, VIOLATIONS, OR STATUS OFFENSES.
LF Z	ANY OF THE FOLLOWING BOXES ARE CHECKED THE CHILD IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR INFORMAL RT PROCESSING.
( )	ON PROBATION AT PRESENT TIME FOR SAME TYPE OFFENSE: PUBLIC OR STATUS*
( )	PAST FELONY CONVICTION IN PAST YEAR.
( )	CURRENT CHARGES PENDING IN FORMAL COURT OF SAME TYPE OFFENSE (PUBLIC OR STATUS)*
( )	SAME TYPE OFFENSE CURRENTLY UNDER A DIVERSION AGREEMENT IN INFORMAL COURT PROCESS (STATUS OR PUBLIC OFFENSE)*
( )	PERSONAL INJURY ALLEDGED TO HAVE OCCURED FROM OFFENSE CHARGED WITH AT PRESENT
( )	SERIOUS PROPERTY DAMAGE ALLEDGED TO HAVE OCCURED FROM OFFENSE CHARGED WITH AT PRESENT
	ELIGIBLE FOR INFORMAL PROCESS IF NOW CHARGED WITH STATUS OFFENSE BUT ON PROBATION FOR A PUBLIC OFFENSE, ECT.  DELIGIBLE FOR INFORMAL PROCESSING
-	NOT ELIGIBLE FOR INFORMAL PROCESSING MMENTS:

# BATH AND MONTGOMERY COUNTIES

# ATTACHMENT TO JUVENILE INTAKE CRITERIA/COURT DESIGNATED WORKER PROGRAM

# POINT SYSTEM FOR RELEASE

	+3	age 13 and below	•
	+2	age 14 and 15	
-	+1	age 16 and 17	
	+3	resided in area	for more than one year for more than three months but less than one year
<del>-</del>	+2	resided in area	for more than three months
	+1	resided in area	less than three months
	+3	resides with par-	ent or legal guardian
	+2	resides with a r	elative
	+1	resides with a n	on-relative
	+3	full time studen	
	+1	part time studen	t maken three months
	+3	full time employ	ee more than three months
	+1	part time employ	ee more than three months
	+2	full time studen	and part time employee
	+1	part time studen	t and part time employee
	+1	telephone in hou	ne or availability to a telephone
	+3	first offence	guardian willing to take juvenile home and supervise
	+5	parent or legal	guardian willing to tune 3
	'	TOTAL	
	2	each previous felony	conviction
		each previous relony	eaner cinviction
			conviction
		1	≁ive probation
	-2 -2		
	-2 -4	utalation of re	lease on pending charge in jetting
	-8	arter fail to A	ppear in past year
,	-8	violent or unco	ntrolable behavior
	-8		
·	-8	. Lala mofuese t	o refurn to the custody of passing
-	-8	no one availabl	e to release juvenile to
· · <del></del>	-8		
	-8	child appears l	ikely to cause harm to himself or others
	_		
		TOTAL	
		-	
			·
		DOINTS	
	TOT	TAL + POINTS	
		DAI DOINTS	
1.ES	5 101	TAL - POINTS	TOTAL BOD DELEACE
	<u>ጥ</u> ረነጥ	AL POINTS	TEN POINTS OR LESS INELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE
	1015		
OMMEN	ms:		

Ms. Kathie Broach Courthouse Annex Murray, Kentucky 42071 (502) 753-8566 County Served: Calloway

District Judge: Judge David Buckingham

#### Program History

Kathie Broach was employed as a juvenile support staff worker in Calloway County from July 1981. Prior to her involvement with A.O.C., Ms. Broach provided the following services:

- she conducted intake interviews to make recommendations concerning if cases should be processed informally or through formal court;
- she was contacted regarding the release or detention of children who were taken into custody by law enforcement officers;
- she served as the court liaison for the Cabinet for Human Resources,
   Comprehensive Care, the schools, and the police department;
- 4. she supervised children who were processed informally and children who were placed on probation at formal court;
- she provided pre-dispositional reports; and
- 6. she monitored dispositional alternatives such as work projects, restitution payments, and drug screenings.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Broach was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Calloway County.

## Complaint Process

Public offense actions were referred to the police department for an investigation. After the investigations were completed the cases were referred to Ms. Broach for the filing of a complaint. All requests for status actions were referred directly to Ms. Broach. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

#### Custody Process

Ms. Broach was contacted whenever children were not released by police officers after they were taken into custody. She then made, based on written criteria, release decisions. Calloway County had emergency shelter care and its jail was utilized for secure detention placements.

# Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Broach conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for all public and status offense cases. She determined, based on written criteria, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

#### Informal Process

Ms. Broach determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status case which was handled informally. She supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

## Formal Court Process

Ms. Broach attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations. She also facilitated part of the formal court process by preparing dockets and completing court orders.

#### Other

Ms. Broach was a member of Calloway County's interagency council and was active in efforts to begin a United Way. She was a participant in the Leadership Murray Program and also spoke about her JSO program before groups at Murray State University, high schools, middle schools, churches, and civic organizations. When requested, Ms. Broach facilitated the process for dependency actions.

# CALLOWAY COUNTY

# Preliminary Inquiry

# Informal Court Criteria

Name:	Date:
Name:	
Offense	:
If a box	c is checked, the child is eligible for informal court processing.
	Felony offense when the child is under the age of 15 or is retarded or is extremely immature as deamed by a certified professional.
$\Box$	Misdemeanor offenses which do not threaten serious physical harm.
$\Box$	The child has successfully completed prior informal court processing for status or public offenses.
$\Box$	The present status or public offense is not the child's third (3) commitment of the same offense.
$\Box$	The judge and/or county attorney have not requested that the case be handled in formal court.
COMI	MENTS:

# CALLOWAY COUNTY

# Criteria For Secure Detention

Name:		Date:
Charge	rs: _	
If a bo	x is ct	necked, then the juvenile may be eligible for detention.
<u></u>	1.	The present offense is a Class A or Class B felony.
	2.	The juvenile has three or more previous felony offense adjudications.
	3.	The juvenile lives in another county and is charged with a felony in Calloway County.
$\Box$	4.	The juvenile is presently charged with a felony and is also on release status for a previous delinquency offense.
	5.	The juvenile has a felony or misdemeanor offense(s) (which threatens physical harm) that is pending in another jurisdiction.
$\Box$	6.	If any of the above boxes are checked, there is not a less restrictive alternative to secure detention which is appropriate and available.
COM	MENT	S:

Ms. Wanda Maddox 309 Riverfront Drive Extension Hopkinsville, Kentucky 42240 (502) 887-4129 County Served: Christian

Present District Judge: Judge Peter Macdonald

Past District Judge: Judge Edwin White

#### Program History

Wanda Maddox was employed in Christian County as a juvenile support staff worker from March 1979. Until March of 1981 she provided services similar to the court designated worker concept. After March of 1981 she became a probation officer and received all her cases after a formal court dispositional hearing.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Maddox was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Christian County.

#### Complaint Process

Public offense complaints were taken by the county police department. Requests for status offense actions were referred to Ms. Maddox. Ms. Maddox reviewed all complaints and attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

## Custody Process

Custody decisions were handled by the district judge and county police department. This was done at the request of the district judge. However, Ms. Maddox was available upon request to provide assistance in locating alternative placements to secure detention. Christian County had emergency shelter care and its jail was utilized for secure detention.

## Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Maddox conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for those public and status offense cases which fit the written criteria for informal processing. All other cases were referred directly into the formal court process by the assistant county attorney.

#### Informal Process

Ms. Maddox determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status offense case which was handled informally. She supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

#### Formal Court Process

Ms. Maddox attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations.

#### Other

Ms. Maddox was active in the development, maintenance, and utilization of the Bellewood Emergency Shelter Facility, the Cabinet for Human Resources Day Treatment Center, Christian County's interagency council, the rape crisis center, and RSVP (a senior citizen group). When requested, Ms. Maddox facilitated the process for dependency actions.

## Christian County Preliminary Inquiry Informal Court Criteria

Name:	Date:
It a time is checked,	the child is not eligible for informal court processing.
	Arson (all degrees)
	Assault 1, 2, 3
	Burglary (all degrees)
-	Criminal Abuse 1, 2
	Escape 1, 2
	Incest
	Kidnapping
	Manslaughter (all degrees)
	Murder
	Prostitution
	Rape (all degrees)
<del></del>	Reckless Homicide
	Robbery (all degrees)
	Sexual Abuse (all degrees)
	Sexual Misconduct
	Sodomy (all degrees)
	Unlawful Imprisonment 1
	Wanton Endangerment (all degrees)
	The judge and/or county attorney has requested that the case be handled in formal court.
Comments:	
- 	

# CHRISTIAN COUNTY

# PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

## Informal Court Criteria

JULY 1983 - JANUARY 1984

NAME	:	Date:	
OFFEN	NSE:	<del></del>	•
if a bo	ox is checked, the child is eligible fo	or informal court processing.	
( )	Ist time misdemeanor offender Ist time violation offender Ist time status offender		
COMM	MENTS:		

County Served: Clay

District Judges: Judge Oscar Gayle House Judge Robert Muncy

#### Program History

Pam Reed was employed in Clay County as a juvenile support staff worker from March 1979. Prior to her involvement with A.O.C., Ms. Reed provided the following services:

- she received or issued public and status offense petitions which were docketed for formal court;
- she was notified whenever children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers to assist in making release or secure detention decisions;
- she provided formal court dispositional recommendations; and
- 4. she supervised children who were placed on probation by the court.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Reed was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Clay County.

## Complaint Process

Ms. Reed received all public and status offense complaints and completed all necessary paperwork. Issues concerning the processing of complaints were discussed with her judges. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

#### Custody Process

Ms. Reed was contacted whenever children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers. She then made, based upon written criteria, release decisions. Clay County had emergency shelter care and its jail was utilized for secure detention.

## Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Reed conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for public and status offense cases. She determined, based upon written criteria, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

#### Informal Process

Ms. Reed determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status offense case which was handled informally. She supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

#### Formal Court Process

Ms. Reed attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations.

#### Other

Ms. Reed facilitated the process for dependency actions, was a member of the Clay County Foster Care Review Board and was involved with the Kentucky War on Drugs. She also assisted in the development of work projects and was available as a speaker for school, church, and civic organizations.

#### CLAY COUNTY CRITERIA

NAME_				AGE
I.	Class C and D F	elonies ar	nd C	Class A Misdemeanor
	Yes	<u>No</u>		
		<del></del>	1.	First Offense
			2.	Prior Record
	_	_	3.	Does juvenile have convictions on prior record or pending charges and includes both status and criminal
			4.	Did the charge involve any violence or potential for violence
			5.	Is the charge a sex offense
	a proper rec victim, arre- pertaining to	ommenda sting office the case ics; there	etion cer, c. N fore	all available information concerning the case to make  n. This should be done through interviews with the and any other person who may have information  OTE: Each case will have its own individual , this chart is subjected to questions and adjustments.
11,		No		10 automo
	Yes	<u>110</u>	1.	Prior record
			2.	Does the juvenile have more than one prior conviction or pending charge
	_		3.	Probation in the past six months
		_	4.	Have all the available resources for the juvenile been referred
			5.	Does the offense involve any violence or potential for violence
			6.	Did the offense create a danger to the juvenile or others Example: Reckless driving, Wanton endangerment

- 8. Does the juvenile or parent show unwillingness to copperate
- A. If two or more have been checked yes, the complaint should be considered for formal court; otherwise, an informal dispostion. NOTE: Recommendation will be made upon the juvenile's best interest.

#### III. Status Offenses

All Status Offenses will be handled informally with only one exception: Has the juvenile ever been committed as a status offender?

#### CLAY COUNTY

## Detention Criteria

1.)	Is the ch	ald presently	charged with	a felony?
		yes		no
2.)	Does chil the past	d have more th six months or	at two prior have any crim	felony convictions in inal charges?
		yes		no .
3.)	Does the	charye involve	violence or	a potential for violence?
		yes		no
4.)	Is the ch	ild a fugitive	from another	county or state?
		yes	wager .	no
5.)	Does the over the	childs parents childs behavio	or guardians r if he or sh	feel they have no control e is released?
		yes		no
6.)		the lines are ve to secure d		here a less restrictive
		yes		no
Comme	ents:			
Name:	:			Age:
Charg	је:			
Time	detained:		a.m./p.m.	
				Hours held:
Time Time Date	detained: released: of Detent	ion:	a.m./p.m. a.m./p.m.	Hours held:

\*\* Explanation of Comment Section: Use the comment section to explain the reason(s) that the juvenile was placed in detention when the criteria was not applicable.

-25-

Mr. Rodney Evans Graves County Courthouse Mayfield, Kentucky 42066 (502) 247-5798 County Served: Graves

Present District Judge: Judge Dennis Null

Past District Judge: Judge John Daughaday

#### Program History

Rodney Evans was employed in Graves County as a support staff worker from October 1980. He provided services similar to the court designated worker process, post-dispositional probation services for juveniles, and services to other sessions of district court.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Mr. Evans was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. He provided services for Graves County.

#### Complaint Process

Mr. Evans received requests for public and status offense complaints. Issues concerning the processing of complaints were discussed with his district judge or county attorney. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

## Custody Process

Mr. Evans was contacted when children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers. He then made, based upon written critieria, release decisions. Emergency shelter care was available in Paducah and secure detention space was available in Henderson.

# Preliminary Inquiry Process

Mr. Evans conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for public and status offense cases. He determined, based upon written criteria, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

#### Informal Process

Mr. Evans determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status offense case which was handled informally. He supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

## Formal Court Process

Mr. Evans attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations.

#### Other

Mr. Evans facilitated the process for dependency actions, continued to provide probation services, and services to other sessions of district court. He developed several work project alternatives including the use of the Red Cross and CPR classes.

# GRAVES COUNTY PRELIMINARY INQUIRY INFORMAL COURT CRITERIA

NAME:	DATE:
	£(\$):
I the ch	f both a Present Offense and the Prior Record boxes are checked, ild is eligible for Informal Court Processing.
Presen	t Offense(s)
/ F	elony (first offense), with approval by the County Attorney's Office
<u></u>	isdemeanor(s); Class A, first offense or unrelated to prior offenses
<u>/</u> ] M	isdemeanor(s); Class B
	iolation(s)
	tatus Offense(s)
	Record
<u> </u>	the child has been before the Court three (3) times or less for a misdemeanor, violation, or status offense.
Commer	nts:
, <u> </u>	

# GRAVES COUNTY SICURE DETENTION CRITERIA

Juveniles subject to the jurisdiction of the Court on delinquency charges should not be detained in secure detention unless they meet one of the following criteria and there is no less restrictive alternative that is appropriate.

- A. They are charged with the offense of the following type:
  - 1. Murder--507.020
  - 2. Manslaughter, 1st degree--507.030
  - 3. Manslaughter, 2nd degree--507.040
  - 4. Reckless Homocide--507.050
  - 5. Assault, 1st dearee--508.010
  - 6. Assault, 2nd degree--508.020
  - 7. Kidnapping--509.040
  - 8. Rape, 1st degree--510.040
  - 9. Rape, 2nd degree--510.050
  - 10. Sodomy, 1st degree--510.070
  - 11. Sodomy, 2nd degree--510.080
  - 12. Sexual Abuse, 1st degree--510.110
  - 13. Burglary, 1st degree--511.020
  - 14. Arson, 1st degree--513.020
  - 15. Robbery, 1st degree--515.020
  - 16. Escape, 1st degree--520.020
- B. They are seriously assaultive and extremely destructive toward others, and maintain such behavior after being taken into custody.
- C. They are a fugitive from another jurisdiction with an active warrant on delinquency charge or confirmation of delinquency charges by the home jurisdiction, and an official of the home jurisdiction has formally requested that the juvenile be placed in detention.
- D. They are currently charged with a delinquency offense and have a demonstrable record within the last six months of willful failures to appear at local proceedings.
- E. They are currently charged with a felony offense and are currently on release status in connection with another delinquency offense.

NOTE: If any of the above criteria are met, contact the Juvenile Services Officer at 247-5798. After hours, call (in the following order):

Rodney K. Evans - 247-9816 (home)
parent's home - 247-3560
Sondra L. Grimes - 753-9262 (home)
Andy Stratton - 247-9462 (home)
247-4696 (Robbins, Robbins, Stratton)
247-6321 (County Attorney's Office)
Judge John T. Daughaday - 247-8291 (home)

Ms. Emma Anthony Leslie County Courthouse Hyden, Kentucky 41749 (606) 672-2231 County Served: Leslie

District Judges:
Judge Oscar Gayle House
Judge Robert Muncy

#### Program History

Emma Anthony was employed in Leslie County as a juvenile support staff worker from March 1982. Prior to her involvement with A.O.C., Ms. Anthony provided the following services:

- 1. she received or issued public and status offense petitions which were docketed for formal court;
- she was notified whenever children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers to assist in making release or secure detention decisions;
- 3. she provided formal court dispositional recommendations; and
- 4. she supervised children who were placed on probation by the court.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Anthony was employed as a part-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Leslie County.

#### Complaint Process

Ms. Anthony received all public and status offense complaints. Issues concerning the processing of complaints were discussed with her judges or county attorney. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

#### Custody Process

Ms. Anthony was contacted whenever children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers. She then made, based upon written criteria, release decisions. Leslie County had emergency shelter care and the jail in Clay County was utilized for secure detention.

#### Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Anthony conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for public and status offense cases. She determined, based upon written criteria, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

#### Informal Process

Ms. Anthony determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status offense case which was handled informally.

#### Formal Court Process

Ms. Anthony attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations.

#### Other

Ms. Anthony facilitated the process for dependency actions, was involved with the Kentucky War on Drugs and was a part-time A.O.C. pretrial officer. She also coordinated emergency shelter placements.

## LESLIE COUNTY

# PRELIMINARY INQUIRY Informal Court Criteria

NAME:	DATE:
OFFENSE:	
If a box is	checked, the child is eligible for informal court processing.
	Misdemeanor(s)
	Violation(s)
	Runaway
	Beyond Parental Control
	Truancy
COMMEN	TS:

# LESIME COUNTY

# CRITERIA FOR SECURE DETENTION

NAME:		DATE:
CHARGES:		
1+ A BO)	( IS (	THECKED, THEN THE JUVENILE MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR DETENTION
	1	. THE PRESENT OFFENSE IS A CLASS A OR CLASS B FELONY.
	2	. THE JUVENILE HAS THREE OR MORE PREVIOUS FELONY OFFENSE ADJUDICATIONS.
ב	] 3	. THE JUVENILE LIVES IN ANOTHER COUNTY AND IS CHARGED WITH A FELONY IN LESLIE COUNTY.
I	<b>]</b> 4	. THE JUVENILE IS PRESENTLY CHARGED WITH A FELONY AND IS ALSO ON RELEASE STATUS FOR A PREVIOUS DELINQUENTCY OFFENSE.
	□ 5	. THE JUVENILE HAS A FELONY OR MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE(S) WHICH THREATENS PHYSICAL HARM THAT IS PENDING IN ANOTHER JURISDICTION.
	□ 6	. IF ANY OF THE ABOVE BOXES ARE CHECKED, THERE IS NOT A LESS RESTRICTIVE

COMENTS:

Mr. Irving Raleigh Letcher County Courthouse Whitesburg, Kentucky 41858 (606) 633-4222 County Served: Letcher

District Judge: Judge Randall Bentley

#### Program History

Irving Raleigh was employed in Letcher County as a support staff worker from December 1981. He was a juvenile support staff worker and trial commissioner. Prior to the introduction of the court designated worker process, Mr. Raleigh provided the following services:

- 1. he supervised juvenile court cases which were referred for diversion agreement;
- 2. he issued public and status offense petitions;
- he was notified whenever children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers to assist in making release or secure detention decisions;
- 4. he conducted detention hearings;
- 5. he conducted court as a trial commissioner when Judge Bentley was unavailable;
- 6. he issued search and arrest warrants; and
- 7. he received calls from pretrial officers and made decisions regarding the release of adults.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Mr. Raleigh was employed as a part-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. He provided services for Letcher County.

#### Complaint Process

Mr. Raleigh received public and status offense complaints. Issues concerning the processing of complaints were discussed with his district judge or county attorney. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

#### Custody Process

Mr. Raleigh was contacted when children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers. He then made, based upon written criteria, release decisions. Letcher County had no emergency shelter care. The Letcher County jail was utilized for secure detention.

#### Preliminary Inquiry Process

Mr. Raleigh conducted preliminary inquiry hearings. He determined, based upon written criteria, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

#### Informal Process

Mr. Raleigh determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status offense case which was handled informally. He supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

#### Formal Court Process

Whenever a child desired to enter a plea, Mr. Raleigh utilized his trial commissioner role and handled these public and status offense cases.

#### Other

Mr. Raleigh was a part-time trial commissioner and assisted in the development of work projects for juveniles. When requested, he facilitated the process for dependency actions.

## LETCHER COUNTY

# Preliminary liquiry

# Informal Court Criteria

Name	: Date:
Offen	Se:
II a bo	ox is checked, the child is eligible for informal court processing.
	Felony offense when the child is under the age of 15 or is retarded or is extremely immature as deamed by a certified professional.
<u>/</u> _7	Misdemeanor offenses which do not threaten serious physical harm.
	The child has successfully completed prior informal court processing for status or public offenses.
<u>/</u> _7	The present status or public offense is not the child's third (3) commitment of the same offense.
	The judge and/or county attorney have not requested that the case be handled in formal court.
COM	MENTS:

# LETCHER DISTRICT COURT JUVENILE DETENTION CRITERIA

I.	A Juve	nile may be detained for:
•		Felony charge .
		Fugitive from another jurisdiction
		Failure to appear on previous charges
		If Juvenile requests protection in writing
		Accumulative public or status offenses if Ordered by Judge
		Other, Explain:
		•
		JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER

Ms. Shirley Young Lincoln County Courthouse Stanford, Kentucky 40484 (606) 365-7078 Counties Served: Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine

District Judges: Judge Marvin Cornett Judge Bill Johnson

#### Program History

Shirley Young was employed in Lincoln County as a juvenile support staff worker from December 1979. Prior to her involvement with A.O.C., Ms. Young provided the following services:

- she sometimes completed requests for public and status offense petitions;
- 2. she assisted in making release decisions for children taken into custody by law enforcement officers;
- she served summons for court appearances;
- 4. she supervised children placed on probation by the court; and
- 5. she assisted in monitoring some dispositional orders such as school attendance for truant children.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Young was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine Counties.

#### Complaint Process

Ms. Young received many of her public and status offense complaints after they were reviewed by District Judge Bill Johnson or Lincoln County Attorney Robert Baker. She received other complaints from walk-in office contacts. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

#### Custody Process

Ms. Young or her judges were contacted when children were taken into custody and not released by law enforcement officers. All three counties had emergency shelter care. The majority of children who required secure detention were placed in the Lincoln County jail. The jails in Garrard and Jessamine Counties periodically housed juveniles.

#### Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Young conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for those cases which were referred to her after being screened by Judge Johnson in Jessamine and Garrard Counties or by Lincoln County Attorney Robert Baker. She also conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for cases which were initiated through walk-in office contacts.

#### Informal Process

Ms. Young determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status case which was handled informally. She supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

#### Formal Court Process

Ms. Young attended formal court in all three counties and upon request provided dispositional recommendations.

#### Other

Ms. Young was involved with the Kentucky War on Drugs, the Bluegrass Community Action Board, and Lincoln County's interagency council. She developed work projects and assisted in the development and coordination of emergency shelter placements for all three counties. When requested, Ms. Young facilitated the process for dependency actions.

Ms. Pearlie Bowling P. O. Box 1095 Hazard, Kentucky 41701 (606) 436-3345 County Served: Perry

District Judge: Judge Stephen Tackett

#### Program History

Pearlie Bowling was employed in Perry County as a juvenile support staff worker from January 1979. Prior to her involvement with A.O.C., Ms. Bowling provided services similar to a court designated worker.

# JUVENILE SERVICE OFFICER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Ms. Bowling was employed as a full-time A.O.C. juvenile service officer from July 1983 through June 1984. She provided services for Perry County.

#### Complaint Process

Ms. Bowling received all public and status offense complaints and completed all necessary paperwork. Issues concerning the processing of complaints were discussed with her district judge or county attorney. Attempts were made to resolve status actions without the filing of complaints.

## Custody Process

Ms. Bowling or Judge Tackett was contacted when children were taken into custody by law enforcement officers. Whenever Ms. Bowling was contacted she made release decisions based upon written criteria. Perry County had emergency shelter care and its jail was utilized for secure detention.

## Preliminary Inquiry Process

Ms. Bowling conducted preliminary inquiry hearings for public and status offense cases. She determined, based upon written critiera, whether cases were eligible for informal or formal court processing and then facilitated either process.

#### Informal Process

Ms. Bowling determined whether no further action, a referral to a social service agency, or a diversion agreement should be pursued for each public or status case which was handled informally. She supervised or monitored all diversion agreements.

#### Formal Court Process

Ms. Bowling attended formal court and upon request provided dispositional recommendations. She also facilitated parts of the formal court process such as the preparation of dockets and the completion of court orders.

#### Other

Ms. Bowling facilitated the process for dependency actions, coordinated mental health assessments and evaluations, monitored the completion of work projects, and monitored children who were committed to the Cabinet for Human Resources for out-of-home placement.

## PERRY COUNTY

## PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

#### Informal Court Criteria

				Date:			
NAME							<b></b>
OFFEI	N5E(5):						-
child is	If both a Presis eligible for inform	sent Offense a	and the Pricessing.	or Record	boxes are	checked, 1	ihe
Presen	nt Offense(s)						
	Misdemeanor(s) Violation(s)						
	Runaway Beyond Parental Truancy	Control					
Prior	Record						
$\Box$	The child has misdemeanor(s),	been before violation(s), o	e the cou or status off	rt three ense(s).	times or	less for	•
Comm	nents						
					<del></del>		
							<b>.</b>

# PERRY DISTRICT COURT

# Juvenile Division

# Criteria For Secure Detention

NAME:	DATE
CHARAL(3)	
If a box	is checked, the juvenile may be eligible for detention.
1.	The present offense is a Class A or Class B felony.
2.	The juvenile has three or more previous felom offense adjudications.
3.	The juvenile lives in another county and is charged with a felony in Perry County
4.	The juvenile is presently charged with a felony and is also on release status for a previous delinouency offense.
5.	If any of the above boxes are checked, there is not a less restrictive alternative to secure detention available.
COMMENTS	: